ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1718 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3247

Some Fixed Point Results for Single and Two Maps in 2- Metric Space

Geetanjali Sharma¹, Akshay Sharma² and Pankaj Tiwari³

¹Research Scholar, Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan University, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), INDIA ²Faculty of Science, Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan University, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), INDIA ³Lakshmi Narain College of Technology, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), INDIA

> (Corresponding author: Geetanjali Sharma) (Received 03 January 2018, Accepted 15 February, 2018) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we establish some fixed point theorems for single and two mappings in 2-metric space which generalize and extend some similar results in the literature.

Keywords: Common fixed points, Metric space, 2-Metric Space, Continuous Function and Cauchy Sequence.

AMS subject classification (2000) – 45H10, 54H25.

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concept of 2-metric space is a natural generalization of the metric space. Initially, it has been investigated by Gähler [5] and has been developed broadly by Gähler [6, 7] and more. After this number of fixed point theorems have been proved for 2-metric spaces by introducing compatible mappings, which are more general than commuting and weakly commuting mappings. Jungck and Rhoades defined the concepts of d-compatible and weakly compatible mappings as extensions of the concept of compatible mapping for single-valued mappings on metric spaces. Several authors used these concepts to prove some common fixed point theorems. Iseki [10, 11] is well-known in this literature which also include cho et.al., [1,2], Murthy et.al.[15], Naidu and Prasad [16], Pathak et.al. [17]. Vishal Gupta et al [8] also prove some common fixed point theorems for a class of A-contraction on 2-metric space. Various authors [20, 21, 22] used the concepts of weakly commuting mappings, compatible mappings of type (A) and (P) and weakly compatible mappings of type(A) to prove fixed point theorems in 2-metric space. Commutability of two mappings was weakened by Sessa [21] with weakly commuting mappings. Jungck [12] extended the class of non-commuting mappings by compatible mappings.

The purpose of this paper is to establish some fixed point results for single and pair of mappings which generalize and extend some existing well-known results in the literature. Now we start with following definitions, lemmas and theorems.

Definition 1.1: Let X be a non empty set and d be a real function from $X \times X$ into R^+ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$, we have

- 1. $d(x, y) \ge 0$
- 2. $d(x, y) = 0 \Longrightarrow x = y$
- 3. d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- 4. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

then, d is called a metric or distance function and the pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

Definition 1.2: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ said to be a Cauchy sequence in 2-metric space X, if for each $\alpha \in X$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}d(x_n,x,a)=0$$

Definition 1.3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2-metric space X is convergent to an element $x \in X$ if for each $a \in X$,

$$\lim d(x_n, x, a) = 0$$

Definition 1.4: A complete 2-metric space is one in which every Cauchy sequence in X converges to an element of X. **Definition 1.5:** Let A and S be mappings from a metric space (X,d) in to itself, A and S are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point.

i.e., Ax = Sx for some $x \in X$, then ASx = SAx.

Definition 1.6: Two self maps f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called compatible if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}fx_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}gx_n=t$$

for some t in X.

Definition 1.7: Maps f and g are said to be commuting if fgx = gfx for all $x \in X$

Definition 1.8: Let f and g be two self maps on a set X, if fx = gx for some x in X then x is called coincidence point of f and g.

Throughout this paper X is stand for complete 2-metric space.

Lemma 1.9: Every subsequence of a convergent sequence to a point x_0 is convergent x_0

Theorem 1.10 (BANACH'S CONTRACTION MAPPING THEOREM): Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a map such that

 $d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha d(x,y)$ for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and all $x,y \in X$ then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, for any $x_0 \in X$ the sequence of Picard iterates $\{T^nx_0\}$, $n \ge 0$ converges to the fixed point of T.

II. MAIN RESULT

Now we prove the following results:

Theorem 2.1:- Let (X,d) be 2-metric space. Let $T: X \to X$ be continuous mapping satisfying the condition, d(Tx,Ty,a)

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(1x, Ty, a) \\
&\leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx, a)d(y, Ty, a) + d(x, Ty, a)d(y, Tx, a)}{d(x, y, a)} \\
&+ \beta \frac{d(x, Ty, a)[d(x, Tx, a)d(y, Ty, a)]}{d(x, y, a) + d(y, Ty, a)d(y, Tx, a)} \\
&+ \gamma \frac{d(x, Tx, a)d(y, Tx, a) + d(y, Ty, a)d(x, Ty, a)}{d(x, Tx, a) + d(y, Tx, a) + d(y, Ty, a) + d(x, Ty, a)} \\
&+ \rho \frac{d(x, Tx, a)d(x, Ty, a) + d(y, Tx, a)}{d(x, Ty, a) + d(y, Tx, a)} \\
&+ \delta[d(x, Tx, a) + d(y, Ty, a)] + \eta[d(y, Tx, a) + d(x, Ty, a)] + \mu d(x, y, a)
\end{aligned}$$
(1)

for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho, \delta, \eta, \mu \in [0,1)$ such that $2\alpha + 2\rho + 4\delta + 4\eta + 2\mu < 2$ then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Define $Tx_n = x_{n+1}$ then

$$d(x_{n+1},x_n,a) = d(Tx_n,Tx_{n-1},a) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x_n,Tx_n,a)d(x_{n-1},Tx_{n-1},a) + d(x_n,Tx_{n-1},a)d(x_{n-1},Tx_n,a)}{d(x_n,x_{n-1},a)}$$

$$\frac{d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, a)[d(x_n, Tx_n, a)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)]}{d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)}$$

$$\frac{d(x_{n}, Tx_{n}, a)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}, a)}{+\gamma \frac{d(x_{n}, Tx_{n}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}, a)}{+\rho \frac{d(x_{n}, Tx_{n}, a)d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, a)}{d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, a)}}$$

$$+\delta [d(x_{n}, Tx_{n}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)] + \eta [d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n}, a) + d(x_{n}, Tx_{n-1}, a) + \mu d(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, a)$$

$$\leq (\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta + \eta) d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, a) + (\rho + \delta + \eta + \mu) d(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, a)$$

$$\therefore d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, a) \leq \frac{(\rho + \delta + \eta + \mu)}{1 - (\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta + \eta)} d(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, a)$$

Hence, $d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \le \lambda d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)$

Where
$$\lambda = \frac{(\rho + \delta + \eta + \mu)}{1 - (\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta + \eta)}$$
, $0 \le \lambda < 1$.

Continuing the same process we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq \lambda^n d(x_1, x_0, a)$$

Now for any $m, n \ (m > n)$ using triangle inequality we have

$$d(x_n, x_m, a) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, a) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m, a)$$

$$\le \lambda^n d(x_1, x_0, a) + \lambda^{n+1} d(x_1, x_0, a) + \lambda^{n+2} d(x_1, x_0, a) + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} d(x_1, x_0, a)$$

$$\le (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \lambda^{n+2} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} d(x_1, x_0, a) = \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} d(x_1, x_0, a)$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ choose a positive number $N \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}d(x_1,x_0,a)<\varepsilon$$

Then for any $m > n \ge N$,

$$d(x_n, x_m, a) \le \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} d(x_1, x_0, a) \le \frac{\lambda^N}{1 - \lambda} d(x_1, x_0, a) < \varepsilon$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete so there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $x_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$. Further continuity of T in X implies therefore u is the fixed point of T.

Uniqueness: If possible, let u and v are two fixed point of T so that by definition we have Tu = u & Tv = v. So

$$d(u, v, a) = d(Tu, Tv, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, Tv, a)d(v, Tv, a) + d(u, Tv, a)d(v, Tu, a)}{d(u, v, a)}$$

$$+\beta \frac{d(u, Tv, a)[d(u, Tu, a) + d(v, Tv, a)]}{d(u, v, a) + d(v, Tv, a) + d(v, Tv, a)}$$

$$+\gamma \frac{d(u, Tu, a)d(v, Tu, a) + d(v, Tv, a)d(u, Tv, a)}{d(u, Tu, a) + d(v, Tu, a) + d(v, Tv, a) + d(u, Tv, a)}$$

$$+\rho \frac{d(u, Tu, a)d(u, Tv, a) + d(v, Tu, a)}{d(u, Tv, a) + d(v, Tu, a)}$$

$$+\delta[d(u, Tu, a) + d(v, Tv, a)] + \eta[d(v, Tu, a) + d(u, Tv, a)]$$

$$+\mu d(u, v, a)$$

which implies

$$d(u, v, a) \le (\alpha + 2\eta + \mu)d(u, v, a)$$

which is a contradiction,

since $2\alpha + 2\rho + 4\delta + 4\eta + 2\mu < 2$.

Hence
$$d(u, v, a) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v$$
.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark: In theorem (2.1) If

1.
$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \rho = \delta = \eta = 0$$
 then the theorem is reduced to Banach [24]

2.
$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \rho = \eta = \mu = 0$$
 then the theorem is reduced to Kannan [19]

3.
$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \rho = \eta = 0$$
 then the theorem is reduced to Chatterjee [23]

4.
$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \rho = \delta = 0$$
 then the theorem is reduced to Fisher [1]

5.
$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \rho = 0$$
 then the theorem is reduced to Riech [25]

6.
$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = \eta = \mu = 0$$
 then theorem is reduced to M. S. Khan [14]

7. $\rho = 0$ then the theorem is reduced to R. Bhardwaj et.al [18]

Now we establish a result for which T is not necessarily continuous in X but T^r is continuous for some positive integer r then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Theorem 2.2: Let T be a self mapping defined on 2- metric space (X, d) such that the condition (1) holds. If for some positive integer r, T^r is continuous then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Let us define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as in theorem (2.1), then clearly it converges to some point u of X. So we can define a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which also converges to the same point u of X. Now

$$T^{r}u = T^{r}\left(\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_{k}}\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(T^{r}x_{n_{k}}\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(x_{n_{k+1}}\right) = u$$

Hence u is a fixed point of T^r .

Now we show that Tu = u.

Let p be the smallest positive integer such that $T^{p}u = u$ but

$$T^q \neq u$$
, for $q = 1, 2, 3 \dots p - 1$.

If p-1 > 0 then

Where $d(Tu, u, a) = d(Tu, T^{p}u, a) = d(Tu, T(T^{p-1}u), a)$

$$\leq \alpha \, \frac{d(u,\!Tu,\!a)d(T^{p-1}u,\!T^pu,\!a) + d(u,\!T^pu,\!a)d(T^{p-1}u,\!T^pu,\!a)}{d(u,\!T^{p-1}u,\!a)}$$

$$+\beta \frac{d(u, T^{p}u, a)[d(Tu, T^{p}u, a) + d(T^{p-1}u, T^{p}u, a)]}{d(u, T^{p-1}u, a)}$$

$$+\gamma \frac{d(u,Tu,a)d(T^{p-1}u,Tu,a)+d(T^{p-1}u,T^{p}u,a)d(Tu,T^{p}u,a)}{d(u,Tu,a)+d(T^{p-1}u,Tu,a)+d(T^{p-1}u,T^{p}u,a)+d(Tu,T^{p}u,a)}$$

$$+\rho\frac{d(u,Tu,a)d(u,T^{p}u,a)+d(T^{p-1}u,T^{p}u,a)d(T^{p-1}u,Tu,a)}{d(u,T^{p}u,a)+d(T^{p-1}u,Tu,a)}$$

$$+\delta[d(u,Tu,a)+d(T^{p-1}u,T^{p}u,a)]+\eta[d(T^{p-1}u,Tu,a)+d(u,T^{p}u,a)]+\mu d(u,T^{p-1}u,a)$$

Such that

$$d(Tu, u, a) \le \frac{(\delta + \rho + \eta + \mu)}{1 - (\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta + \eta)} d(u, T^{p-1}u, a)$$
$$d(u, Tu, a) \le \lambda d(u, T^{p-1}u, a) \le \dots \le \lambda^p d(u, Tu, a)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{(\delta + \rho + \eta + \mu)}{1 - (\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta + \eta)} < 1$$

a contradiction, hence $d(u, Tu, a) = 0 \Rightarrow u = Tu$

The uniqueness can be followed as in theorem (2.1).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.3: Let S and T be mappings of 2-metric space (X,d) into itself. Suppose that there exists a non

negative real number
$$\alpha$$
 and β such that $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$ and
$$d(Tx, Sy, a) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx, a)d(x, Sy, a) + d(y, Sy, a)d(y, Tx, a)}{d(x, Sy, a) + d(y, Tx, a)}$$

 $+\beta \max\{d(x,Tx,a) + d(y,Sy,a), d(y,Sy,a) + d(x,y,a), d(x,Tx,a) + d(x,y,a)\}$

for all $x, y \in X$ then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$\begin{split} x_{2n+1} &= S(x_{2n}), x_{2n+2} = T(x_{2n+1}), n = 0,1,2 \dots \text{ then we have} \\ d(x,x_2,a) &= d(Sx_0,Tx,a) = d(Tx,Sx_0,a) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_1,Tx_1,a)d(x_1,Sx_0,a) + d(x_0,Sx_0,a)d(x_0,Tx_1,a)}{d(x_1,Sx_0,a)d(x_0,Tx_1,a)} \\ &+ \beta max\{d(x_1,Tx_1,a) + d(x_0,Sx_0,a),d(x_0,Sx_0,a) \\ &d(x_1,x_0,a),d(x_1,Tx_1,a) + d(x_1,x_0,a)\} \\ &= \alpha \frac{d(x_1,x_2,a)d(x_1,x_1,a) + d(x_0,x_1,a)d(x_0,x_2,a)}{d(x_1,x_1,a) + d(x_0,x_2,a)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} +\beta \max \{ d(x_1, x_2, a) + d(x_0, x_1, a), d(x_0, x_1, a) + d(x_1, x_0, a), d(x_1, x_2, a) \\ &+ d(x_1, x_0, a) \} \\ &= \alpha d(x_0, x_1, a) + \beta \{ d(x_1, x_2, a) + d(x_0, x_1, a) \} \\ &(1 - \beta) d(x_1, x_2, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta) d(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &d(x_1, x_2, a) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} d(x_0, x_1, a) \end{split}$$

Put $\lambda = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta}$ where $0 \le \lambda < 1$

Then

$$d(x_1, x_2, a) \le \lambda d(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Similarly we can show,

$$d(x_2,x_3,a) \leq \lambda d(x_1,x_2,a)$$

In general we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \le \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ ia Cauchy sequence. Since X is a 2- metric space, so the sequence $\{x_n\}$

converges to some point x in X. For the point x,

$$\begin{split} d(x,Tx,a) &\leq d(x,x_{n+1},a) + d(Tx_n,Tx,a) \\ &= d(x,x_{n+1},a) + \alpha \frac{d(x_n,Tx_n,a)d(x_n,Tx,a) + d(x,Tx_n,a)d(x,Tx_n,a)}{d(x_n,Tx,a) + d(x,Tx_n,a)} \\ + \beta \max\{d(x_n,Tx_n,a) + d(x,Tx_n,a),d(x,Tx) + d(x_n,x),d(x_n,Tx_n,a) + d(x_n,x,a)\} \\ &= d(x,x_{n+1},a) + \alpha \frac{d(x_n,x_{n+1},a)d(x_n,Tx,a) + d(x,Tx,a)d(x,x_{n+1},a)}{d(x_n,Tx,a) + d(x,x_{n+1},a)} \\ + \beta \max\{d(x_n,x_{n+1},a) + d(x,Tx,a),d(x,Tx,a) + d(x_n,x,a),d(x_n,x_{n+1},a) + d(x_n,x,a)\} \end{split}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ we have,

$$d(x,Tx,a) \leq \beta d(x,Tx,a)$$
 a contradiction.

$$\therefore d(x, Tx, a) = 0 \Rightarrow x = Tx.$$

Hence x is the fixed point of T. Similarly following the same process we can show that x is the fixed point of S

Hence x is the common fixed point of T and S.

Uniqueness: To show x is a unique common fixed point of the mappings T and S if possible let y be a fixed point of S.

$$d(x, y, a) = d(Tx, Sy, a)$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx, a)d(x, Sy, a) + d(y, Sy, a)d(y, Tx, a)}{d(x, Sy, a) + d(y, Tx, a)}$$

$$\beta \max\{d(x, Tx, a) + d(y, Sy, a), d(y, Sy, a) + d(x, y, a), d(x, Tx, a) + d(x, y, a)\}$$

 $+\beta \max\{d(x,Tx,a) + d(y,Sy,a), d(y,Sy,a) + d(x,y,a), d(x,Tx,a) + d(x,y,a)\}$ $= \alpha \frac{d(x,x,a)d(x,y,a) + d(y,y,a)d(y,x,a)}{d(x,y,a) + d(y,x,a)}$

$$+\beta \max\{d(x,x,a) + d(y,y,a), d(y,y,a) + d(x,y,a), d(x,x,a) + d(x,y,a)\}\$$

$$d(x, y, a) \le \beta d(x, y, a)$$

which is a contradiction, since $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$, Hence $d(x, y, a) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark: If $\beta = 0$ we get theorem (2.1) of M.S. Khan [14]

If $\alpha = 0$ we get theorem 2.2 of R. Shrivastva et. al. [20].

If S = T then we get the following

Corrollary 2.4:

d(Tx, Ty, a)

$$+\beta \max \{d(x,Tx,a) + d(y,Ty,a), d(y,Ty,a) + d(x,y,a), d(x,Tx,a) + d(x,y,a), d(x,Tx,a) + d(x,y,a) \}$$

Remark: If $\alpha = 0$ then we get A -Contraction introduced by M. Akram et.al [13]. If $\beta = 0$ we get theorem (1.10) of M. S. Khan [14].

Again the result of theorem (2.1) can be further generalized. In this case, the mapping T is

neither continuous nor satisfies the condition (1) but T^m for some positive integer m satisfies the same rational condition and continous, T still consumes a unique fixed point in X.

Theorem 2.5: Let T be continuous self map defined in 2- metric space (X, d) such that for some positive integer m, satisfies the condition

$$d(T^mx,T^my,a) \le \alpha \frac{d(x,T^mx,a)d(y,T^my,a) + d(x,T^my,a)d(y,T^mx,a)}{d(x,y,a)}$$

$$+\beta \frac{d(x, T^{m}y, a)[d(x, T^{m}x, a)d(y, T^{m}y, a)]}{d(x, y, a) + d(y, T^{m}y, a) + d(y, T^{m}x, a)}$$

$$+\gamma \frac{d(x, T^{m}y, a)d(y, T^{m}x, a) + d(y, T^{m}y, a)d(x, T^{m}y, a)}{d(x, T^{m}x, a) + d(y, T^{m}x, a) + d(y, T^{m}y, a) + d(x, T^{m}y, a)}$$

$$+\rho \frac{d(x, T^{m}x, a)d(x, T^{m}y, a) + d(y, T^{m}y, a)d(y, T^{m}x, a)}{d(x, T^{m}y, a) + d(y, T^{m}x, a)}$$

 $+\delta[d(x,T^{m}x,a)+d(y,T^{m}y,a)]+\eta[d(y,T^{m}x,a)+d(x,T^{m}y,a)]+\mu d(x,y,a)$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho, \delta, \eta, \mu \in [0,1)$ such that $2\alpha + 2\rho + 4\delta + 4\eta + 2\mu < 2$,

if T^m is continuous then T has a fixed point in X.

Proof. By theorem (2.2), it is obvious that T^m has a unique fixed point u in X $i.e T^m(u) = u. Also$

$$T(u) = T(T^m u) = T^m(Tu)$$

From both relations we conclude that T(u) = u, i.e T has a fixed point u in X. This completes the proof of theorem.

Theorem 2.6: Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of mappings of 2- metric space (X,d) into itself. Let x_n be a fixed point of $\{T_n\}$ (n = 1, 2, ...) and suppose $\{T_n\}$ converges uniformly to T_0 . If T_0 satisfies the condition

$$d(T_0x, T_0y, a) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, T_0x, a)d(x, T_0y, a) + d(y, T_0y, a)d(y, T_0x, a)}{d(x, T_0y, a) + d(y, T_0y, a)} + \beta \frac{d(x, T_0y, a)[d(x, T_0x, a) + d(y, T_0y, a)]}{d(x, T_0y, a) + d(y, T_0y, a)} + \gamma d(x, y, a)$$

$$for all \ x, y \in X, x \neq y \ and \ for \ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1) \ such \ that \ \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1 \ then \ \{x_n\} \ converges \ to \}$$

the fixed point x_0 of T_0 .

Proof. From Theorem (2.1) and by given remarks conclude that T₀ has a unique fixed point satisfying the given rational expression. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, then there exists a natural number N such that $d(T_n x, T_0 x, a) < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}$

$$d(T_n x, T_0 x, a) < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}$$

For all $x \in X$ and n > N.

$$\begin{split} d(x_n,x_0,a) &= d(T_nx_n,T_0x_0,a) \leq d(T_nx_n,T_0x_n,a) + d(T_0x_n,T_0x_0,a) \\ &\leq d(T_nx_n,T_0x_n,a) + \alpha \frac{d(x_n,T_0x_n,a)d(x_n,T_0x_0,a) + d(x_0,T_0x_0,a)d(x_0,T_0x_n,a)}{d(x_n,T_0x_0,a) + d(x_0,T_0x_n,a)} \\ &+ \beta \frac{d(x_n,T_0x_0,a)[d(x_n,T_0x_n,a) + d(x_0,T_0x_0,a)]}{d(x_n,x_0,a) + d(x_0,T_0x_n,a)} + \gamma d(x_n,x_0,a) \\ &= d(T_nx_n,T_0x_n,a) + \alpha \frac{d(x_n,T_0x_n,a)d(x_n,x_0,a) + d(x_0,x_0,a)d(x_0,T_0x_n,a)}{d(x_n,x_0,a) + d(x_0,T_0x_n,a)} \\ &+ \beta \frac{d(x_n,x_0,a)[d(x_n,T_0x_n,a) + d(x_0,x_0,a)]}{d(x_n,x_0,a) + d(x_0,T_0x_n,a)} + \gamma d(x_n,x_0,a) \end{split}$$

Such that

$$d(x_n, x_0, a) \le \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)} d(T_n x_n, T_0 x_n, a) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n > N.$$

This shows that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x_0 .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark: In the above theorem, if $\beta = \gamma = 0$ then we get theorem (2.2) of M. S. Khan [14].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee, for there valuable suggestion.

REFERENCES

- [1]. B. Fisher, (1976). "A fixed point theorem for compact metric space", Publ. Inst. Math, 25:192-194.
- [2]. Cho Y.J., (1993). Math. Japonica, "Fixed points for compatible mappings of type Japonica", 38(3): 497-508.
- [3]. Cho Y.J, Khan M.S, Singh S.L, (1988). "Common fixed points of weakly commutating Mappings", univ. Novom Sadu, Sb. Rd. Prirod-mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 18(1): 129-142.
- [4]. Dubey R.K., Shrivastava R., Tiwari P., (2013). "On some fixed point theorem in complete 2-Metric spaces", *Advances in Applied Science Research*, **4**(6): 142-149.
- [5]. Gahler S, (1963). "2-metric Raume and ihre topologische strucktur" Math.Nachr, 26: 115-148.
- [6]. Gahler S, (1965). "Uber die unifromisieberkeit 2-metrischer Raume", Math. Nachr, 28: 235-244.
- [7]. Gahler S, (1966). "Zur geometric 2-metrische raume, Revue Roumaine" Math. Pures, Appl., 11: 665-667.
- [8]. Gupta V, Kour R, (2012). "Some common fixed point theorems for a class of A-contractions on 2-metric space:, *International Journal of pure and applied mathematics*, **79**(1): 909-916.
- [9]. Gupta V, (2012). "Fixed Point Theorems for Pair of Mappings on Three Metric Spaces", Advances in Applied Science Research, 3 (5), 2733-2738.
- [10]. Iseki K, (1975). "Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces", Math. Sem. Notes, Kobeuni, 3(1): 133-136.
- [11]. Iseki K, Sharma P.L, Sharma B.K, (1976). "Contractive type mapping on 2- metric spaces" Math. Japonica, 21: 67-70.
- [12]. Jungck G, (1996). "Common fixed points for non continuous non self maps on non metric spaces", Far East. J. Math. Sci., 4(2): 199-215.
- [13]. M. Akram, A. A. Zafar and A. A. Siddiqui, (2008). "A general class of contractions: A-Contraction", Novi Sad J. math, 38(1): 25-33.
- [14]. M. S. Khan, (1977). "A fixed Point theorem for metric spaces", Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, (4): 53-57.
- [15]. Muthuy P.P, Chang S.S, Cho Y.J, Sharma B.K., (1992). Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed point theorems", *Kyungpook Math, J.*, **32**(2): 203-216.
- [16]. Naidu S.V.R, Prasad J.R, (1986). "Fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces", Indian J. Pure Appli. Math., 17(8): 974-993.
- [17]. Pathak H.K, Kang S.M, Back J.H, (1995). "Weak compatible mappings of Type (A) and common fixed points", *Kyungbook Math. J.*, **35**: 345-359.
- [18]. R. Bhardwaj, S. S. Rajput and R. N. Yadav, (2007). "Application of Fixed Point theory in Metric Space", Thai *J. Math.*, **5**(2): 253-259.
- [19]. R. Kannan, (1968). "Some results on fixed points", Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 60: 71-76.
- [20]. R. Shrivastava, Z. K. Ansari and M. Sharma, (2012). "Some Results on Fixed Points in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces", *J. Advance Studies in Topology*, **3**(1): 25-31.
- [21]. Sessa S, (1982). "On a weak commutativity conditions of mappings in fixed point consideration," *Publ. Inst. Math.* (Beograd), **32**(46), 146-153.
- [22]. Tan D, Liu Z, Kim J. K, (2003). "Fixed points for compatible mappings of. Type (P) in 2-metric spaces", *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **8**(2): 215-232.
- [23]. S. K. Chaterjee, (1972). "Fixed Point Theorems, Compacts", Rend. Acad. Bulgeria Sci., 25(1): 727-730.
- [24]. S. Banach, (1922). "Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrals", fundamental Mathematicae, 3(7): 133-181.
- [25]. S. Reich, (1971). "Some remarks concerning cotraction mappings", Canada Math. Bull., (1): 121-124.